A Supreme Court Decision

L. O. LARSON OF COURTENAY. GETS DECISION. SUIT BROT TO RECOVER UPON BOND

which was started here in justice court in November, 1906, by L. O. Larson, against P. M. Foley, then of Ken-

sal, for an indebtedness of some \$400, has been brought to a close by a decision just recently handed down by the supreme court, in which Mr. Larson recovers in S the sum of some \$3200. N d time in Kensal. The stock congain tried in the district court, sisting of 10 horses, 3 calves, 3 in January, 1912. Through some cows and 35 head of hogs, were technical objections set up by given to the Cavens to care for way of defense, the judge gave

stock, in order that he might another case that if settled at sell it in satisfaction of a lien the beginning would have agiven in favor of Mr. Larson. The Cavens, however, refused dollars. to turn over the stock, claiming a feed bill of \$522.50. The amount was tendered William

Caven, which was refused. It was then deposited in a bank in Courtenay in his name, which was again refused, and action was at once started for possession of the property in the dis-

trict court. The sheriff went to Kensal and seized the property and took possession of the same. On the

same day the Cavens procured an execution of an undertaking in the sum of \$2000 for the redelivery of the property to them, which was done. A short time afterwards the Cavens sold the property under an agister's lien to the highest bidder, which brought \$1085.25, William Caven

the sum of \$1000, the value of the stock, and \$200 for detention and money spent in recovery thereof, and \$362.45 for costs. a

total of \$1562.45.

When the execution was issued the sheriff found no property liable to execution, and on April 2nd, 1909, action was brought against Albert Hanson and Julius Frederickson, who had in the meantime, went as sureties for Caven. In June, 1909, another trial was had and resulted in a verdict of \$1773.70 for Larson against the sureties. In December of the same year, the sureties took an appeal, and it was brought before the supreme Mr. Larson, in order to col- court and in April, 1911, heard lect his account against Mr. before that court, which was re-Foley, who was then leaving the versed and a new trial ordered. state, was given the right to After an amendment of plainsome stock, which was at that tiff's pleadings the case was auntil such a time as the action in judgment in fayor of the the dewhich it was taken could be tried fendants. An appeal was taken and judgment rendered. On and the supreme court has just January 1, 1907, the constable handed down its decision in favapplied to the Cavens for the or of Mr. Larson. Thus ends

> CARDS OF THANKS We desire to take this means

mounted to only a few hundred

of expressing our gratitude to the many friends and neighbors, wyo so kindly assisted us during the sickness and death of our father. We want all to know that we greatly appreciated their kindness and we will always feel greatful towards them for their able assistance, and at no time will we ever forget their many

deeds of kindness. John Siebert, Jr., Anton Siebert. Mary Siebert.

An Offer That 1

Our idea of bank it should not be lir handling of money

Mr. Larson was plaintiff, came on for trial in January, 1908, and the jury found for Mr. Larson in

original owner.

keeping \$1028.50 and turning the balance over to Foley, the

The replevin action in which